

Possible Explanation for $E > 10^{20}$ eV Air Showers with Flavour-Changing Neutral Currents

José BORDES

*Dept. Fisica Teorica, Univ. de Valencia,
c. Dr. Moliner 50, E-46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain*

CHAN Hong-Mo, Jacqueline FARIDANI

*Rutherford Appleton Laboratory,
Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0QX, United Kingdom*

Jakov PFAUDLER

*Dept. of Physics, Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford,
1 Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3NP, United Kingdom*

TSOU Sheung Tsun

*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford,
24-29 St. Giles', Oxford, OX1 3LB, United Kingdom*

Abstract

Air showers observed with $E > 10^{20}$ eV pose a problem since protons at such energies cannot survive a long journey through the 2.7 K microwave background. It is suggested that they are initiated instead by neutrinos which become strongly interacting through exchanges of gauge bosons associated with generation-changing neutral currents, a suggestion which is supported by calculations in a dualized standard model recently proposed. In turn, the suggestion bounds the mass of the gauge bosons and gives estimates for the branching ratios of FCNC s , c , b , and t meson decays which are accessible to experiments now being planned.

Over the last thirty years, evidence has accumulated for the existence of air showers with primary energies greater than 10^{20} eV [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. To-date, 9 such events have been recorded by several detectors using a variety of detection techniques, which makes it rather unlikely for all of them to be due to experimental biases or errors. Their existence poses an intriguing physics question and it is mainly to investigate further these so-called EHECR's (extremely high energy cosmic rays) that the huge Auger project is being planned, involving large arrays on two sites, one in each hemisphere, totalling in area 6000 km^2 [6]. The reason for this unusual amount of interest is that air showers with such energies ought not in theory to be there at all. Air showers at high energies are thought to be initiated mostly by protons, and protons at such an energy would quickly lose it by interacting with the photons in the 2.7 K microwave background field via, for example, the interaction:

$$p + \gamma_{2.7K} = \Delta + \pi. \quad (1)$$

Indeed, it has been shown by Greisen [7] and by Zatsepin and Kuz'min [8] that the spectrum of protons originating from more than 50 Mpc away should be cut off sharply at around 4×10^{19} eV in traversing the microwave background field. Thus, the observed air showers with energies in excess of 10^{20} eV at experimental energy resolutions of order 20 percent would be a blatant contradiction to theoretical expectations unless their primary protons have an origin within that sort of distances. However, such nearby sources are thought to be unlikely for the following reason. Over such short distances, protons with these extreme energies will be hardly deflected by the magnetic fields either in our galaxy or in the space between. The observed directions of the air showers should thus point directly to their sources, but no candidate sources have been found within a distance of 50 Mpc which are thought capable of producing particles of such an enormous energy.

A possible alternative explanation for these showers can be that they are not initiated by protons at all but by some other particles. Thus a stable zero-charged particle, such as a neutrino, could survive the long journey from whatever its extragalactic origin through the microwave background to arrive on earth with its high energy intact [9, 10, 11]. However, a neutrino with only the known electroweak interactions can readily penetrate our atmosphere. In order to interact with the air at all to produce air showers at the observed frequency, cosmic neutrinos at these energies would need to have a very large flux, which is hardly imaginable. Even if this high flux is indeed available,

the air showers induced would have angular and depth distributions which are at variance with those observed. Whereas neutrino initiated showers are expected to be mostly horizontal, in order that the neutrino may pass through sufficient air for it to effect a collision, the observed events (with angular resolution of only $1^\circ - 2^\circ$), nearly all have incident angles of less than 40° from the zenith. Further, air showers initiated by weakly interacting neutrinos would have a flat distribution in the depth of atmosphere penetrated, not bunched at high altitudes as observed. One concludes, therefore, that if neutrinos have only the known electroweak interactions, then the observed air showers with energies greater than 10^{20} eV are very unlikely to be initiated by neutrinos.

On the other hand, if neutrinos have interactions which become strong at ultra-high energies then the objections raised in the paragraph above are overruled and neutrinos can afford an explanation for the events under consideration. This conjecture has been considered in [9, 10, 11] on the basis of possible substructures to quarks and leptons yet unknown to us [12, 13]. What we wish to point out in this note is that there is another theoretical scenario which will naturally lead to such interactions. This goes as follows.

Neutrinos, like other leptons and quarks, are known to exist in three families or generations. This fact has no explanation in the conventional formulation of the standard model but is merely introduced into the theory as a phenomenological requirement. Now, a favourite suggestion among theoreticians is that generations may in fact represent the quantum numbers of a broken continuous symmetry like $SU(3)$. To bring it into line with other known continuous symmetries, we would then want this new one to be also a gauge symmetry. If so it has to be mediated by a new set of gauge bosons and these, being flavoured but uncharged, would lead in turn to flavour changing neutral currents (FCNC). Now, such gauge bosons will have to be very heavy, for otherwise they will give rise to sizeable FCNC decays, which have not been observed. Indeed, the strongest bounds on the gauge boson mass coming from K -decays are usually given to be in the 10 - 100 TeV region, depending on the strength of the gauge coupling [14]. If we accept this scenario, then at energies below, say, 100 TeV, generation-changing interactions due to the exchange of these bosons will be negligible and neutrinos will interact just via the usual electroweak forces. However, at energies greater than 100 TeV, the new forces, which could in principle be strong, will come into play and give rise to new effects.

The incoming primary energy of the air showers under consideration is of order 10^{20} eV, which in collision with a proton in the air corresponds to a CM energy of around 400 TeV. They are therefore, according to the estimates of the preceding paragraph, at an energy possibly above the advent of the new interactions. Hence, neutrinos at these energies may have already acquired strong interactions and can give rise to the observed air showers as required.

A particular realization of this theoretical scenario is afforded by a recently proposed scheme based on a nonabelian generalization of electric-magnetic duality [15]. In this scheme, the generation index is identified with dual colour, from which assumption it follows that there are exactly three generations and that the generation symmetry is broken. The symmetry is mediated by the dual gluons whose couplings are related to the usual couplings of colour gluons by the Dirac quantization condition, which in the standard conventions used in nonabelian theories with $\alpha = g^2/4\pi$ reads as:

$$\tilde{g}g = 4\pi, \quad (2)$$

and are seen at these energies to be large. Furthermore, the CKM matrix in this scheme is the identity matrix at tree level and only acquires mixing from loop corrections, which, being dependent on the symmetry breaking scale, allow one to make an estimate of the dual gluon mass through the observed magnitude of the mixing elements. A preliminary result from a calculation along these lines, the details of which will be reported elsewhere, gives an estimate of the dual gluon mass of around several 100 TeV. Hence, in this scheme, not only is it possible for the neutrino to acquire strong interactions at energies above around 100 TeV as suggested in the general framework outlined in the above paragraph, but it seems that it is even *predicted* to be so. If that is indeed the case, then air showers initiated by neutrinos with energies greater than 10^{20} eV would occur so long as neutrinos with such energies are produced somewhere out there in the universe.

Are there viable sources? Since neutrinos are supposed to interact strongly at such energies, then any source capable of accelerating protons to these energies can produce neutrinos directly from collisions of the accelerated protons, a mechanism seemingly more efficient for high energy neutrinos than by, for example, pion decay. Now, of the three possible candidate categories of sources lying above the line:

$$BR = E/Z, \quad (3)$$

on the Hillas plot [16] (where B is the magnetic field in μG , R the size in kpc, E the energy in $\text{EeV} = 10^{18} \text{ eV}$, and $Z = 1$ for protons), which are thought capable of accelerating protons to these energies, two are thought to have difficulty emitting them [17]. For the neutron star, the accelerated proton is liable to lose its energy by sychrontron radiation on escaping simply by crossing the magnetic field which is itself responsible for its acceleration. On the other hand, for active galactic nuclei, the accelerated proton is expected to suffer energy loss in its escape by interacting with the intense radiation field thought to surround the central parts of the AGN. We notice, however, that neither of these effects would affect the neutrino, which being neutral would not interact electromagnetically and would thus be able, once it is produced by the mechanism suggested above, to escape with its energy intact.

A neutrino interacting strongly at extreme energies would even offer possible answers to several puzzling questions connected with the origin of $E > 10^{20} \text{ eV}$ air showers. For instance, three pairs among the observed showers are known to have a common direction to within 2° [18], suggesting thus a common origin for each pair. However, if they are charged particles and have different energies as these pairs do, then they ought to be deflected differently by the intervening magnetic fields and arrive with different directions unless the sources are rather close to earth. This objection, however, does not apply to neutrinos so that each pair could have come from the same distant source. Further, it has been noted that the highest energy event known, namely the 320 EeV event recorded by the Fly's Eye detector [4], points in the direction of a very powerful Seyfert galaxy (MCG 8-11-11) which is 900 Mpc away [11]. If this is taken to be the source of that particular event, then one may wonder why such a source capable of producing a 320 EeV particle should give no signal in the 10 EeV range, which could be easily detected by the Fly's Eye detector [17]. This objection, however, poses no difficulty for the neutrino which interacts strongly only at extreme energies well above 100 TeV CM. At lower energy, the interaction being there supposedly weak, neutrinos cannot, first of all, be produced directly from the collision of high energy protons as suggested above, and secondly, even if some of them are produced in MCG 8-11-11, the νN cross section would have decreased sufficiently by these energies as to give them little chance of initiating air showers when they arrive on earth.

Now, if such neutrinos are produced, by MCG 8-11-11 or some such object, then they will be able to reach us. They will be attenuated by neither

the 2.7 K background photons since they are chargeless, nor by the 1.9 K background neutrinos, if massless, since their collisions will have CM energies of only around 200 MeV (even a 10 eV neutrino will give only 40 GeV CM energy) at which the interaction is still very weak. But would they be able to produce showers with the observed properties, such as the above-mentioned angular and depth distributions? The answer to this question depends on neutrino cross sections at 10^{20} eV which one is at a loss how to estimate in the general framework of generation-changing neutral currents with unknown couplings. However, in the scheme based on duality suggested in [15], one has a slight handle in that the coupling of the dual gluon is given by the Dirac quantization condition (2) above. At low energies, the neutrino cross section due to dual gluon exchange will behave as a point cross section, similarly to that from W -exchange, rising linearly with the beam energy. If one takes naively the experimental νN cross section extrapolated to the W mass and scales that by $\tilde{\alpha}_3^2/\alpha_2^2$, with α_2 being the usual electroweak coupling and $\tilde{\alpha}_3$ the dual colour coupling obtained from (2), one obtains a cross section at the dual gluon mass of around $10 \mu\text{b}$, approaching the hadronic range. However, the dual colour coupling being very large, $\tilde{\alpha}_3 \sim 16$, it is doubtful whether the above estimate has much significance.

There is yet another mechanism which can give sizeable cross sections to extreme energy neutrinos for producing showers. An antineutrino hitting an electron in the atmosphere at 10^{20} eV primary energy gives a CM energy of around 10 TeV. If the spectrum of the generation-changing gauge and their associated Higgs bosons is populated at this mass range, then $\bar{\nu}$ and e can combine to form one of these states which will then quickly decay into hadrons and initiate a shower. This can happen so long as generation is a broken gauge symmetry, independently of the special dual scheme of [15]. However, with more knowledge obtained from the current calculations being done with the dual scheme, there may be a chance of specifying the boson spectrum and even of estimating this resonant cross section.

Provided one accepts as a working hypothesis that the observed air showers with greater than 10^{20} eV energies are initiated by neutrinos, then independently of the dual colour interpretation suggested in [15], one would obtain an upper limit of 400 TeV for the mass of the gauge bosons mediating generation-changing reactions. Together with the lower limit of around 100 TeV obtained from the bounds on FCNC K-decays, these would limit the gauge boson mass within sufficiently narrow limits to make predictions of

	<i>Theoretical Estimate</i>	<i>Experimental Limit</i>
$Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ ll')$	$f_{s \rightarrow dl'} \left(\frac{\tilde{g}^2}{4\pi}\right)^2 2 \times 10^{-12}$	2.1×10^{-10}
$Br(K_s^0 \rightarrow ll')$	$f_{sd \rightarrow ll'} \left(\frac{\tilde{g}^2}{4\pi}\right)^2 9 \times 10^{-11}$	3.2×10^{-7}
$Br(D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ ll')$	$f_{c \rightarrow ull'} \left(\frac{\tilde{g}^2}{4\pi}\right)^2 2 \times 10^{-13}$	1.8×10^{-5}
$Br(B^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ ll')$	$f_{b \rightarrow dl'} \left(\frac{\tilde{g}^2}{4\pi}\right)^2 10^{-10}$	3.9×10^{-3}
$Br(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ ll')$	$f_{b \rightarrow sl'} \left(\frac{\tilde{g}^2}{4\pi}\right)^2 10^{-10}$	6×10^{-5}
$\Gamma(t \rightarrow ql')$	$f_{t \rightarrow ql'} \left(\frac{\tilde{g}^2}{4\pi}\right)^2 9 \times 10^9 s^{-1}$	

Table 1: The estimates given above assume a unique mass of 400 TeV for the gauge bosons with the gauge coupling $\tilde{g}$. The coefficients f involve the mixing angles but are bounded by and of order unity. For the dual scheme of [15], $\tilde{g}$ is given by the Dirac quantization condition (2) in terms of the ordinary colour gluon coupling run to 400 TeV, and corresponds to a value for $(\tilde{g}^2/4\pi)^2$ of around 250. The resulting branching ratios satisfy the present experimental bounds but are accessible to new experiments now being planned. Detailed calculations with nondegenerate gauge boson masses and explicit f 's depending on mixing angles will be reported elsewhere.

FCNC decays in other reactions meaningful. In Table 1, we list the branching ratios so predicted, assuming a unique gauge boson mass, for various FCNC decay modes of s, c, b and t particles which should be available for scrutiny at Daphne, Barbar and other strange-, charm-, bottom- and top-factory experiments now being planned. Calculations along the lines of the dual scheme of [15] will give more detailed predictions which we hope to report later.

As so little is yet known about the envisaged new interactions for neutrinos at high energies, we cannot suggest at present decisive tests for confirming or rejecting, directly from measurement of air shower properties, the assumption that showers with $E > 10^{20}$ eV are indeed initiated by neutrinos. However, a good test for the hypothesis already exists in terms of the FCNC decays as discussed in the preceding paragraph and listed in Table 1.

We thank Jeremy Lloyd-Evans for interesting us in high energy air showers and for giving us some valuable information on the subject.

References

- [1] J. Linsley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963) 146.
- [2] M.A. Lawrence, R.J.O. Reid and A.A. Watson, J. Phys. G, 17 (1991) 773.
- [3] B.N. Afanasiev et al., Proc. of the 24th ICRC, Rome, Italy, 2 (1995) 756.
- [4] D.J. Bird et al., Ap. J. 424 (1994) 491.
- [5] S. Yoshida et al., Proc. of the 24th ICRC, Rome, Italy, 1, (1995) 793.
- [6] The Pierre Auger Observatory Design Report (2nd ed.), 14 March 1997.
- [7] K. Greisen, Phys. Rev. Letters, 16 (1966) 748.
- [8] G.T. Zatsepin and V.A. Kuz'min, JETP Letters, 4 (1966) 78.
- [9] G. Sigl, D.N. Schramm and P. Bhattachajee, Astrop. Phys. 2 (1994) 401.
- [10] F. Halzen et al., Astrop. Phys. 3 (1995) 151.
- [11] J.W. Elbert and P. Sommers, Ap. J. 441 (1995) 151.
- [12] G. Domokos and S. Nussinov, Phys. Letters 187B (1987) 372.
- [13] G. Domokos and S. Kovesi-Domokos, Phys. Rev. D38 (1988) 2833.
- [14] e.g. Robert N. Cahn and Haim Harari, Nucl. Phys. B176 (1980) 135.
- [15] Chan Hong-Mo and Tsou Sheung Tsun, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory report, RAL-TR-97-005, hep-th/9701120.
- [16] A.M. Hillas, Annual Review Astron. Astrophys. 22 (1984) 425.
- [17] Murat Boratav, astro-ph/9605087.
- [18] N. Hayashida et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 77 (1996) 1000.